



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

DOCKET CLERK  
P.O. DRAWER 800889  
DALLAS, TX 75380

**COPY MAILED**

**SEP 23 2009**

In re Application of  
Purva R. Rajkotia  
Application No. 10/693,753  
Filed: October 24, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. 2003.07.003.WS0

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

**DECISION ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition, filed August 5, 2009, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.8(b), requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the Office action of November 17, 2008, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. Accordingly, a reply was due on or before February 17, 2009.

Petitioner states that a timely reply was mailed via certificate of mailing on February 11, 2009, which included the following papers: Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal, check in the amount of \$810 for the RCE filing fee and a post card receipt. Petitioner has submitted a copy of the previously mailed correspondence, which bears a certificate of mailing dated February 11, 2009, which would have rendered the reply timely if received.

The file record does not include the originally submitted papers. Failure to receive correspondence which includes a certificate of mailing or certificate of facsimile transmission is addressed in 37 CFR 1.8(b), reproduced below:

In the event that correspondence is considered timely filed by being mailed or transmitted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, but not received in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office after a reasonable amount of time has elapsed from the time of mailing or transmitting of the correspondence, or after the application is held to be abandoned, or after the proceeding is dismissed, terminated, or decided with prejudice, the correspondence will be considered timely if the party who forwarded such correspondence:

- (1) Informs the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence promptly after becoming aware that the Office has no evidence of receipt of the correspondence;
- (2) Supplies an additional copy of the previously mailed or transmitted correspondence and certificate; and
- (3) Includes a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Director to the previous timely mailing or transmission. If the correspondence was sent by facsimile transmission, a copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement.

The petition satisfies the above requirements of 37 CFR 1.8(b). Accordingly, the holding of abandonment for failure to timely file a reply to the Office action of November 17, 2008 is hereby **withdrawn** and the application restored to pending status.

The copy of the reply received with the petition will be accepted in place of the reply shown to have been mailed (or transmitted by facsimile) on February 11, 2009.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to April M. Wise at 571-272-1642. All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2618 for appropriate action in the normal course of business on the reply received with petition.

/dab/  
David Bucci  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions